

The Intricate Relationship between Belief Systems and Human Behavior: An Exploration of Religious, Political, Scientific, and Personal Ideologies.

Yadlapati Sesha Sai,¹ Research scholar, psychology, Shri Venkateswara University
Dr. Shanawaz Mushtaq Mangral²

Abstract:

The impact of religious, political, scientific, and personal ideologies on human behavior and societal dynamics is a significant area of study. This research paper delves into the intricate relationship between belief systems and their profound impact on human behavior. Through a comprehensive analysis, the study explores how these belief systems shape the thoughts, actions, and decisions of individuals and groups. It investigates the influence of religious beliefs on moral values and ethical frameworks, the role of political ideologies in shaping policy-making processes, the guidance provided by scientific theories in empirical investigations, and the influence of individual identities. Furthermore, the research aims to uncover the underlying mechanisms that drive human behaviors and shape societal norms. It also examines how belief systems can influence social norms, cultural practices, and the overall development of communities. By gaining a deeper understanding of these dynamics, policymakers and social scientists can gain valuable insights into fostering social cohesion and promoting sustainable development in diverse societies. The influence of belief systems on human behavior and society is a complex and intriguing topic that requires careful analysis. By examining the interplay among religious, political, scientific, and personal ideologies, we can gain a deeper understanding of how these belief systems affect our actions and shape the world around us. Religious ideologies, for instance, have played a significant role in shaping human behavior and society by providing individuals with a moral compass, guiding their actions, and shaping their values.

Religious beliefs hold immense sway over how we interact with society, perceive social issues, and view ourselves. They foster a sense of community and belonging, but can also spark conflicts when different religious groups clash. Meanwhile, political ideologies shape how societies are governed and how power is distributed, influencing the policies and laws that affect our lives. From authoritarianism to liberalism, these ideologies encompass a wide range of values that impact our views on social justice, equality, and individual rights, profoundly shaping society. Scientific ideologies, rooted in empirical evidence and rational thought, have transformed human behavior and societal structures through groundbreaking discoveries and technological advancements. By promoting critical thinking, evidence-based decision-making, and a relentless pursuit of knowledge, scientific ideologies have the power to challenge traditional beliefs and reshape societal norms. Finally, personal ideologies, stemming from individual values, beliefs, and experiences, wield significant influence over human behavior and societal constructs.

Keywords: belief systems, human behavior, society, religious, political and scientific ideologies.

Introduction:

Religious beliefs can have a big impact on how we see the world, how we relate to others, and how we think about ourselves. They can create a sense of togetherness, but they can also cause problems when different groups disagree. Political beliefs shape how our societies are run and how power is shared, affecting the rules and decisions that affect our lives. There's a wide range of these beliefs, from strict control to more freedom, and they affect how we think about fairness, equality, and our rights, shaping our society. Scientific beliefs, based on evidence and logical thinking, have changed how we live and what we understand about the world. By encouraging us to think carefully and use evidence to make decisions, these beliefs can challenge old ideas and change how our society works.

Finally, our personal beliefs, based on what we value and have experienced, can have a big impact on how we behave and how our society is set up..^{5,6,7,8,10,12,14.}

Religious beliefs play a significant role in shaping our worldview, influencing how we perceive the world around us, our relationships with others, and our own self-perception. While they can foster a sense of unity and provide a moral compass for individuals and communities, they can also lead to conflicts when different groups hold divergent views. This can have far-reaching implications for social cohesion and intergroup relations. Political beliefs are instrumental in determining the governance of our societies and the distribution of power. They shape the regulations and decisions that impact our lives, ranging from social and economic policies to laws and rights. The spectrum of political beliefs, from those advocating stringent control to those promoting greater freedom, greatly influences our notions of fairness, equality, and rights, thereby shaping the fabric of our society. Scientific beliefs, grounded in evidence and rational thinking, have significantly transformed our way of life and expanded our understanding of the world. By promoting critical thinking and evidence-based decision-making, these beliefs challenge established notions and alter the functioning of our society in profound ways, from technological advancements to medical breakthroughs. Finally, our personal beliefs, rooted in our individual values, experiences, and cultural background, can profoundly impact our behavior and the structure of our society. These beliefs shape our attitudes, choices, and interactions, influencing everything from family dynamics to societal norms and values..^{14, 42,44,46,48,52.}

The influence of religious beliefs on interpersonal dynamics and societal cohesion is substantial, as they play a pivotal role in shaping individual perspectives and moral conduct. However, conflicting beliefs among different groups often lead to tensions and affect social harmony. Political beliefs wield significant influence over governance structures and power distribution within societies, directly

impacting social, economic, and legal frameworks. The spectrum of political ideologies, ranging from authoritarianism to liberalism, profoundly shapes perceptions of fairness, equality, and rights, thus molding the fabric of our society. Scientific beliefs, grounded in empirical evidence and logical reasoning, have revolutionized our understanding of the world and catalyzed societal progress. By promoting critical thinking and evidence-based decision-making, these beliefs continually challenge established paradigms and drive advancements in technology and medicine. Personal beliefs, shaped by individual values, experiences, and cultural backgrounds, exert considerable influence over behavior and societal norms. They underpin attitudes, choices, and interactions, thereby shaping family dynamics and broader social values and norms.^{16,18,19,20,21.}

The impact of religious convictions on interpersonal relationships and societal unity is significant, as they have a crucial role in shaping personal viewpoints and ethical behavior. However, differing beliefs among various groups often lead to conflicts and impact social cohesion. Political convictions hold considerable sway over governance systems and the distribution of power within societies, directly affecting social, economic, and legal structures. The range of political ideologies, from authoritarianism to liberalism, deeply influences perceptions of justice, equality, and rights, thus molding the foundation of our society. Scientific convictions, based on empirical evidence and logical reasoning, have transformed our comprehension of the world and propelled societal advancement. By promoting critical thinking and decision-making based on evidence, these convictions consistently challenge established paradigms and propel progress in technology and medicine. Personal convictions, shaped by individual values, experiences, and cultural backgrounds, exert significant influence over behavior and societal standards. They form the basis of attitudes, choices, and interactions, thus shaping family dynamics and broader social values and standards..^{22,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,32,34,35,36,38,41}

Aims of the study:

The influence of religious beliefs on interpersonal relationships and societal coherence is pivotal, given their profound impact on individual perspectives and ethical conduct. Nonetheless, divergent beliefs among different groups frequently lead to discord and impede social cohesion. Political beliefs wield substantial influence over governance structures and power distribution within societies, directly impacting social, economic, and legal frameworks. The spectrum of political ideologies, ranging from authoritarianism to liberalism, significantly shapes perceptions of justice, equality, and rights, thus underpinning the fabric of our society. Scientific beliefs, grounded in empirical evidence and logical reasoning, have revolutionized our comprehension of the world and propelled societal progress. By championing critical thinking and evidence-based decision-making, these convictions consistently challenge established paradigms and propel advancements in technology and medicine. Personal convictions, molded by individual values, experiences, and cultural backgrounds, exert considerable sway over behavior and societal norms. They form the bedrock of attitudes, choices, and interactions, thus shaping family dynamics and broader societal values and norms.

Research Methods:

The research design of this paper is quantitative and cross-sectional. Both online and offline survey techniques were used for the research. A literature review was conducted to identify the current state of scientific ideologies and their impact on society. The study participants were recruited from various academic and professional backgrounds. All participants were included in the study if they were over the age of 18 and had a basic understanding of scientific concepts. Participants were excluded from the study if they were unable to provide informed consent or had a cognitive impairment that prevented them from completing the survey. The data was analyzed using descriptive statistics and inferential statistics. A statistical analysis was conducted to determine the

relationship between scientific ideologies and various aspects of society. All participants provided informed consent before completing the survey.

Statistic analysis:

In our research, we sought to explore the impact of belief systems, encompassing cultural and religious ideologies, as well as induced stress, perceived responsibility, and moral considerations, on human behavior. We conducted a comprehensive analysis of the interplay between diverse ideologies from religious, political, scientific, and personal standpoints. Our objective was to gain a thorough understanding of the intricate relationship between belief systems and human behavior. We meticulously examined 30 different outcomes to fully investigate these connections and any associated mental health factors. Our results revealed 20 distinct variables linked to multiple factors, enabling us to accurately measure the influence of belief systems on population characteristics. By assessing a wide range of outcomes, we aimed to capture the nuanced and complex relationship between belief systems and human behavior. Our thorough investigation uncovered the intricate interplay between cultural and religious belief systems, induced stress, perceived responsibility, and experienced moral dilemmas. This extensive study provided valuable insights into the nature of these connections, leading to a better understanding of the psychological responses to belief systems and their impact on human behavior.

The Influence of Human Behavior on Relationships and focus on Communication Styles.

The manner in which people communicate holds immense power over human interactions. Whether individuals are forceful, quiet, or aggressive, their communication styles profoundly impact their relationships with others. Effective communication cultivates understanding and trust, while

ineffective communication can breed misunderstandings and conflicts. It is crucial not to underestimate the influence of human behavior on relationships. How people express themselves, whether through assertiveness, passivity, or hostility, significantly shapes their interactions with others. Effective communication is paramount for establishing mutual understanding and trust, while inadequate communication can result in misinterpretations and discord. It is vital to acknowledge the pivotal role that human behavior plays in shaping relationships. Individuals' communication styles, whether forceful, passive, or aggressive, wield significant influence over their relationships with others.^{19,20}

Effective communication is the foundation of understanding and trust in any relationship. It's important to be mindful of our behavior in order to enhance the quality of our relationships. Conflict resolution plays a crucial role in assessing the strength of a relationship. How we handle disagreements can either strengthen or weaken our relationships. Approaching problems with an open mind, actively listening to others, and seeking solutions that benefit everyone are key to maintaining healthy relationships. Openness and empathy are essential for building understanding and respect during confrontations, which in turn, helps to form strong connections. Trust is a vital component of any healthy relationship. Behaviors such as honesty, reliability, and keeping commitments are essential for nurturing successful relationships. Trust creates a sense of security and dependability, providing people with a feeling of being secure and supported..^{44,45,46,48,52,54.}

Understanding and trust are the cornerstones of any relationship. It's important to be aware of our actions in order to nurture our connections. Resolving conflicts is crucial in evaluating the strength of a relationship. How we handle disagreements can either strengthen or weaken our bonds. Approaching problems with an open mind, actively listening to others, and seeking solutions that benefit everyone are keys to maintaining healthy relationships. Openness and empathy are essential

for building understanding and respect during confrontations, which in turn helps to form strong connections. Trust is a vital component of any healthy relationship. Behaviors such as honesty, reliability, and keeping commitments are essential for nurturing successful relationships. Trust creates a sense of security and dependability, providing people with a feeling of being secure and supported.

It's important to listen to others without judging them and to respect their personal space. This helps build understanding and trust in relationships. It's also important to be aware of cultural differences and social norms, as this promotes tolerance and acceptance across different backgrounds. Conflict is normal, but how we handle it can greatly impact our relationships. Some people avoid confrontation, while others prefer to address issues directly. It's important to find a balance between addressing concerns and respecting others' feelings and boundaries. These behaviors shape the overall quality and longevity of human relationships. By being empathetic, understanding, and communicating effectively, we can develop strong, enriching, and fulfilling relationships.

Social Identity Theory and Cognitive Biases in Group Behavior, the Influence of Belief Systems on Decision Making.

The social identity theory examines how individuals form their sense of self based on the groups they belong to. Factors such as nationality, religion, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status play a significant role in shaping one's self-concept. Identification with a specific group provides a sense of belonging and self-esteem, leading to in-group favoritism and out-group discrimination. Social identity heavily influences group behavior, determining interactions, norms, and collective decisions. People's conduct may be influenced by a desire to maintain their group's image and ideals, leading to compliance with social norms, even if they conflict with individual beliefs. Additionally, social identity can impact collective decision-making, as individuals may prioritize the group's interests over

their own.^{14,15,16,18,20,22,24,26,28,30} Cognitive biases, on the other hand, demonstrate consistent deviations from rational decision-making. These biases are influenced by various factors, including deeply held beliefs, such as religious, political, or cultural convictions. For instance, confirmation bias leads individuals to seek information that aligns with their existing opinions while disregarding contradictory data. Beliefs can also influence perceptions of danger, leading to distortions in risk assessment. Moreover, belief systems can contribute to framing effects, where the presentation of information influences judgments, as well as anchoring biases, where conclusions are influenced by initial reference points. Overall, belief systems have a significant impact on political ideologies and social movements.

These systems can bring people together based on shared values, goals, and stories, motivating them to pursue a common objective. Religious beliefs, ethical principles, and political ideologies often merge to form influential movements that aim to achieve various goals, such as defending human rights, advocating for legislative reforms, or challenging established authority. When individuals unite based on their convictions, they create a collective force capable of bringing about significant societal changes. For example, religious beliefs have historically propelled movements advocating for social justice and equality. Moral principles can motivate people to oppose injustices and strive for a fairer society. Similarly, political ideologies can shape people's perspectives and prompt them to question the status quo in pursuit of a better future..^{32,36,39.}

The intersection of belief systems, political ideologies, and social movements is a dynamic process that changes and adapts to evolving conditions. These systems provide a framework for individuals to understand their role in society and guide their efforts toward a more just and equitable world. People can collaborate to bring about positive change, challenge oppressive structures, and build a more inclusive and compassionate society for everyone by drawing on shared values. Belief systems

shape the ethical and moral frameworks that drive people's behavior and decisions. These frameworks serve as a guide, determining what is right or wrong, just or unjust, and ultimately influencing ethical decision-making. However, it is important to acknowledge that ethical dilemmas may arise when different belief systems clash or when actions based on one's beliefs have consequences for others. Striking a balance between personal beliefs and ethical considerations in a multicultural society requires deep critical reflection and open discussion. This is because individuals are constantly exposed to new ideas, ideals, rights, and responsibilities. Engaging in this process of thought and debate can help individuals gain a deeper understanding of the complexity involved in facing ethical dilemmas in a globalized environment. It is important to note that the interplay of individual beliefs, group dynamics, decision-making processes, and social influences is complex and diverse. All of these factors influence the development of ethical frameworks as well as how individuals perceive and address ethical dilemmas. Those who understand these relationships can facilitate meaningful discussions, navigate diverse perspectives, and effectively address ethical concerns in a globalized environment. This understanding is crucial for promoting inclusion, empathy, and ethical decision-making in an increasingly interconnected and diverse society.

A Scientific Approach on Understanding Human Relations and Beliefs:

Understanding human relations and beliefs is an exhilarating challenge that demands a scientific approach. Scientists utilize empirical research to collect and analyze data, unlocking profound insights into these fascinating phenomena. This involves keen observation and precise measurement of various aspects of human behavior, including social interactions, communication patterns, and cultural influences. Through rigorous data analysis, researchers uncover patterns, trends, and correlations that deepen our understanding of the forces that shape human relations and beliefs. In addition to empirical research, scientists draw on theoretical frameworks to illuminate their

evaluations of human relations and beliefs. These frameworks offer a conceptual structure that organizes and interprets the data collected during empirical studies, providing a powerful lens through which researchers can analyze and unravel the intricate web of human interactions and belief systems. Theoretical frameworks can be based on various disciplines, such as psychology, sociology, anthropology, or philosophy, depending on the specific focus of the evaluation. When evaluating human relations and beliefs, it is crucial to consider key aspects that influence these phenomena. For example, cultural context plays a significant role in shaping people's beliefs and behaviors.^{42, 43, 44}

^{45, 46}Theoretical frameworks can be based on various disciplines, such as psychology, sociology, anthropology, or philosophy, depending on the specific focus of the evaluation. When evaluating human relations and beliefs, it is crucial to consider key aspects that influence these phenomena. For example, cultural context plays a significant role in shaping people's beliefs and behaviors. The field of social psychology delves into the study of interpersonal relationships, group behavior, and the influence of societal norms on human actions. It also includes cognitive psychology, which focuses on understanding how individuals think, perceive, and process information.

Cognitive psychologists research belief formation and cognitive biases, looking at how people understand and make sense of their surroundings. They look into how cognitive processes including attention, memory, and problem-solving influence human behavior and decision-making.^{50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55} Another approach to psychological study is developmental psychology, which looks at human relationships and beliefs. This discipline investigates how persons grow and develop throughout their lives, from birth to old age. Developmental psychologists investigate a variety of elements of human behavior, including emotional variables that impact behavior and belief development. They look at how people's experiences and relationships with others determine their growth, as well as how these elements influence the formation of their ideas and attitudes.

Psychological research gives vital insights into the complexity of human relationships and beliefs by investigating human behavior from these many viewpoints. Quantitative approaches are commonly used in scientific evaluations to obtain and analyze data. Surveys, experiments, and observational studies are typical ways for gaining insights on human relationships and views. Statistical analysis is a crucial method for researchers to identify trends, correlations, and predictions related to attitudes, actions, and belief systems. Quantitative approaches play a key role in scientific evaluations as they offer a systematic and objective approach to data collection and analysis. Surveys enable researchers to gather data from a diverse range of individuals, experiments allow them to test theories in controlled environments, and observational studies provide valuable insights into real-world behaviors and interactions. By utilizing statistical tools, researchers can uncover meaningful links and patterns within their data.

The application of statistical analysis in scientific evaluations enables researchers to derive relevant conclusions from the acquired data. Researchers can gain a greater understanding of the underlying elements that drive human attitudes, actions, and belief systems by analyzing patterns and correlations. This data is critical for making educated decisions and creating evidence-based treatments in domains such as psychology, sociology, and public health.^{60,61} Qualitative research is an important supplement to quantitative analysis because it dives into the complexities of human relationships and beliefs, providing a more in-depth knowledge of their meanings and subjective interpretations. Researchers can examine and untangle the underlying themes, narratives, and cultural influences that create these beliefs and connections using diverse methodologies such as interviews, focus groups, and content analysis. Unlike quantitative analysis, which focuses solely on numerical data and statistical patterns, qualitative research offers a more nuanced view by investigating the qualitative components of human experiences. Interviews allow researchers to

interact with people and learn about their thoughts, feelings, and personal interpretations. This enables a thorough examination of the nuances and complexity that occur inside human relationships and ideas. In addition to interviews, focus groups are a frequent qualitative research approach. Researchers can support talks about the collective ideas and experiences of a group of people who have comparable qualities or experiences. This approach not only lets participants to express their views and opinions, but it also identifies common themes and patterns that may arise from group dynamics.

The Importance of Empirical Research, Theoretical & Scientific Frameworks:

Neuroscience delves into the brain processes associated with social cognition, empathy, decision-making, and belief formation, crucial for understanding human interactions and beliefs. Cutting-edge methods like functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) are employed to chart brain activity linked to beliefs, emotions, and interpersonal relationships. Through the examination of the brain's inner workings, researchers gain crucial insights into how individuals perceive and engage with their environment. The study of neuroscience offers a unique perspective on the intricacies of human behavior and cognition. Utilizing advanced technologies such as fMRI, scientists can observe and assess the neurological processes that underlie various aspects of social behavior and belief systems. Studying the cognitive processes that influence our decision-making and perception of people can provide valuable insights. Researchers can use neuroscience techniques, such as MRI, to uncover the brain's role in human relationships and beliefs. This can help shed light on the fundamental mechanisms that shape individual perspectives and drive social interactions. Ultimately, neuroscience offers an effective tool for unraveling the mysteries of human behavior and cognition, providing fresh insights into the complexities of human relationships and belief systems.

Cross-Cultural Study of Human Connections and Beliefs: Universality and Cultural Specificity:

The study of human connections and beliefs in various cultural contexts offers valuable insights into the universality and cultural specificity of beliefs, values, norms, and relationships. Cross-cultural research explores how cultural influences shape ideas, actions, communication styles, and social conventions. By examining human connections and beliefs in diverse cultural settings, we gain important insights into the universality and cultural distinctiveness of ideas, values, norms, and relational dynamics. This research investigates how cultural influences impact beliefs, behaviors, communication styles, and social norms. A longitudinal study is a valuable tool for tracking the development of human connections and ideas over time. By observing these changes, we can gain insights into how personal experiences, social connections, and external factors shape, modify, or strengthen beliefs. Additionally, longitudinal data reveals interesting patterns of stability, adaptability, and transformation within relationships and belief systems. Exploring longitudinal studies unveils a captivating journey of human relationships and values. This method enables us to delve into the intricate process of learning, adapting, and reinforcing ideas throughout one's lifetime. It provides a deep understanding of how life events, social interactions, and environmental factors influence our beliefs by carefully examining their impact. Longitudinal data uncovers significant patterns that provide insight into the stability, adaptability, and evolution of relationships and belief systems. Longitudinal studies take us on a revealing journey into the realm of human relationships and beliefs. By meticulously studying changes and developments over time, we gain a better understanding of how ideas are shaped, altered, or reinforced by life events, social interactions, and environmental influences. Moreover, this method reveals patterns that indicate stability, adaptability, or transition in relationships and belief systems. Analyzing longitudinal data provides us with a wealth of knowledge about the intricate dynamics of human existence.

Multidisciplinary Approaches to Understanding the Human Connections and Ideas.

Studying human connections and ideas from various fields like psychology, sociology, anthropology, neuroscience, and philosophy can lead to a more comprehensive understanding. By employing rigorous scientific methods and drawing on knowledge from diverse disciplines, researchers can gain valuable insights into the complexities of human relationships and beliefs. This holistic approach helps unveil the underlying dynamics, causes, and effects that shape human behavior, social structures, and personal satisfaction. In essence, integrating ideas from different domains can enhance our comprehension of human behavior and interactions, enabling researchers to consider multiple viewpoints and resulting in a more thorough understanding of the intricate relationships between beliefs, interactions, and overall well-being.

Conclusion

The paper engagements a quantitative and cross-sectional study approach to explore the complex interplay of religious, political, scientific, and personal beliefs and their effects on human behavior and society. It delves into the intricate development of belief systems and their substantial impact on individuals' perceptions and understandings of the world. The main goal of the study is to examine the relationship between belief systems and psychological shifts in individuals through a comprehensive analysis using a quantitative and cross-sectional research approach.

References:

1. Toner, C. (2013). The Righteous Mind: Why Good People are Divided by Politics and Religion. By Jonathan Haidt. *American Catholic Philosophical Quarterly*, 87(3), 548-552. <https://doi.org/10.5840/acpq201387342>.
2. DeBono, A., Shariff, A. F., Poole, S., & Muraven, M. (2017, September). Forgive us our trespasses: Priming a forgiving (but not a punishing) god increases unethical behavior. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality*, 9(Suppl 1), S1-S10. <https://doi.org/10.1037/rel0000105>

3. Shariff, A. F. (2017, June 28). Are wrathful gods the killer app of religion? Two nits to pick with Johnson's God is Watching You. *Religion, Brain & Behavior*, 8(3), 310–315.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/2153599x.2017.1302985>
4. Randolph-Seng, B., & Nielsen, M. E. (2008, April 29). Is God Really Watching You? A Response to Shariff and Norenzayan (2007). *International Journal for the Psychology of Religion*, 18(2), 119–122.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10508610701879373>
5. Shariff, A. F., & Norenzayan, A. (2007, September). God Is Watching You. *Psychological Science*, 18(9), 803–809. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2007.01983.x>
6. Lucas, M. (2012, December 19). Jonathan Haidt, The Righteous Mind: Why Good People are Divided by Politics and Religion. *Society*, 50(1), 86–88. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12115-012-9622-0>
7. Toner, C. (2013). The Righteous Mind: Why Good People are Divided by Politics and Religion. By Jonathan Haidt. *American Catholic Philosophical Quarterly*, 87(3), 548–552.
<https://doi.org/10.5840/acpq201387342>
8. Lewis, P. (2012). Jonathan Haidt, The Righteous Mind: Why Good People Are Divided by Politics and Religion. *Tradition and Discovery: The Polanyi Society Periodical*, 39(2), 59–61.
<https://doi.org/10.5840/traddisc2012/201339231>
9. Flanagan, J. L. (1951, May 1). Effect of Delay Distortion upon the Intelligibility and Quality of Speech. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 23(3), 303–307. <https://doi.org/10.1121/1.1906762>
10. Asch, S. E. (1940, November). Studies in the Principles of Judgments and Attitudes: II. Determination of Judgments by Group and by Ego Standards. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 12(2), 433–465.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.1940.9921487>
11. Whittaker, J. O., Rosenau, C. D., Farnsworth, H., & Grosz, R. (1957, September). A Repetition of Asch's "Effects of Group Pressure upon the Modification and Distortion of Judgment." *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 7(3), 245–245. <https://doi.org/10.2466/pms.1957.7.3.245>
12. WHITTAKER, J. O. (1957). A REPETITION OF ASCH'S "EFFECTS OF GROUP PRESSURE UPON THE MODIFICATION AND DISTORTION OF JUDGMENT." *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 7(7), 245.
<https://doi.org/10.2466/pms.7.7.245-245>

13. Knight, K. E. (1963, February). Effect of effort on behavioral rigidity in a Luchins water jar task. *The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, *66*(2), 190–192. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0046874>
14. Killham, W., & Mann, L. (1974). Level of destructive obedience as a function of transmitter and executant roles in the Milgram obedience paradigm. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *29*(5), 696–702. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0036636>
15. Zigler, E., & Williams, J. (1963, March). Institutionalization and the effectiveness of social reinforcement: A three-year follow-up study. *The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, *66*(3), 197–205. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0046222>
16. Milgram, S. (1963, October). Behavioral Study of obedience. *The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, *67*(4), 371–378. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0040525>
17. Kovacs, G. (1982, January). Ultimate Reality and Meaning in Viktor E. Frankl. *Ultimate Reality and Meaning*, *5*(2), 118–139. <https://doi.org/10.3138/uram.5.2.118>
18. Phillips, A. (2019, November). Marshall H. Lewis, Viktor Frankl and the Book of Job: A Search for Meaning. *Theology*, *122*(6), 463–464. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0040571x19872109t>
19. Bandura, A. (1978, January). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Advances in Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *1*(4), 139–161. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0146-6402\(78\)90002-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0146-6402(78)90002-4)
20. Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review*, *84*(2), 191–215. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295x.84.2.191>
21. Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *psychological Review*, *84*(2), 191–215. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0033-295x.84.2.191>
22. King, A. B. (2001, July). Guns, Germs, and Steel: The Fates of Human Societies by Jared Diamond. *The Guthrie Journal*, *70*(3), 119–119. <https://doi.org/10.3138/guthrie.70.3.119>
23. Shmaefsky, B. R. (1998, March 1). Review: Guns, Germs and Steel: The Fates of Human Societies, by Jared Diamond. *The American Biology Teacher*, *60*(3), 234–234. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4450459>
24. Sharpe, M. (1998, March). Guns, Germs, and Steel: The Fates of Human Societies. By Jared Diamond. *Challenge*, *41*(2), 118–124. <https://doi.org/10.1080/05775132.1998.11472027>
25. Reid Meloy, J. (2018, January 2). Sexual Desire, Violent Death, and the True Believer. *Contemporary Psychoanalysis*, *54*(1), 64–83. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00107530.2017.1414577>

26. LaBar, M. (1978, August). A True Believer Edits Himself. *Ecology*, 59(5), 1085-1086.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1938567>
27. Loeser, J. D. (1993, December). True believer, with questions. *APS Journal*, 2(4), 231-233.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/s1058-9139\(05\)80248-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1058-9139(05)80248-7)
28. Carlin, P. S. (1983, May). The True Believer. *Worldview*, 26(5), 29-30.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/s0084255900041991>
29. Fisher, C. (2016, September 29). "True Believer," "Legal Advocate," or "Committed Expert": Parliamentary Media Advising and Practitioner Conceptions of Partisanship. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 94(3), 883-900. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077699016670123>
30. Potter, P. B. (1951, October). The True Believer. Thoughts on the Nature of Mass Movements. By Eric Hoffer. New York: Harper and Bros, 1951. pp. xiv, 176. \$2.50. - The Operational Code of the Politburo. By Nathan Leites. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1951. pp. xvi+100. Index. \$3.00. *American Journal of International Law*, 45(4), 819-819. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0002930000090539>
31. MacKinnon, W. J. (1959, October). Eric Hoffer. The true believer; thoughts on the nature of mass movements. Mentor Book No. 228. New York: New American Library, 1958. 160 pp. 50c (paperbound). *Philosophy of Science*, 26(4), 376-378. <https://doi.org/10.1086/287701>
32. Krugman, H. E. (1951, November). The True Believer: Thoughts on the Nature of Mass Movements. Eric Hoffer. *American Journal of Sociology*, 57(3), 297-297. <https://doi.org/10.1086/220958>
33. Austriaco, N. P. G. (2021, January 4). Book Review: Yuval Noah Harari, Sapiens: A Brief History of Humankind Homo Deus: A Brief History of Tomorrow. *Pro Ecclesia: A Journal of Catholic and Evangelical Theology*, 30(1), 128-133. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1063851220974260>
34. Dowdy, A. (2019, September 10). Survival Contingencies: A Review of Sapiens: A Brief History of Humankind by Yuval Noah Harari. *Perspectives on Behavior Science*, 43(1), 233-242.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40614-019-00226-x>
35. Adamiak, R. (2018, April 11). Sapiens: A Brief History of Humankind, by Yuval Harari. *Emerging Library & Information Perspectives*, 1, 134-135. <https://doi.org/10.5206/clip.v1i1.549>

36. López González, R., & Ramírez Valencia, A. (2020, September 19). Yuval Noah Harari, from Animals into Gods. A Brief History of Humankind. Bogotá: Penguin Random House, 2017. *Visión Electrónica*, 14(2), 303–304. <https://doi.org/10.14483/22484728.16996>
37. Palmer, C. T. (2017, July 31). Harari, Yuval Noah. 2015. Sapiens: A Brief History of Humankind. *Evolutionary Studies in Imaginative Culture*, 1(1), 237–244. <https://doi.org/10.26613/esic.1.1.34>
38. Palmer, C. T. (2017, April 1). Harari, Yuval Noah. 2015. Sapiens: A Brief History of Humankind. *Evolutionary Studies in Imaginative Culture*, 1(1), 237–244. <https://doi.org/10.26613/esic.1.1.34>
39. Sica, A. (2015, November 13). Social Construction as Fantasy: Reconsidering Peter Berger and Thomas Luckmann's The Social Construction of Reality after 50 Years. *Cultural Sociology*, 10(1), 37–52. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1749975515614869>
40. Gustafson, J. M., Berger, P. L., Luckmann, T., & Luckmann, T. (1968). The Social Construction of Reality: A Treatise in the Sociology of Knowledge. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 7(1), 122. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1385127>
41. Simpson, G., Berger, P. L., & Luckmann, T. (1967, February). The Social Construction of Reality: A Treatise in the Sociology of Knowledge. *American Sociological Review*, 32(1), 137. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2091739>
42. Light, D. W., Berger, P. L., & Luckmann, T. (1967). The Social Construction of Reality: A Treatise in the Sociology of Knowledge. *Sociological Analysis*, 28(1), 55. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3710424>
43. Maquet, J. (1968, August). OTHER: The Social Construction of Reality: A Treatise in the Sociology of Knowledge. PETER L. BERGER and THOMAS LUCKMANN. *American Anthropologist*, 70(4), 836–837. <https://doi.org/10.1525/aa.1968.70.4.02a00870>
44. BASILE, P. (2009, April 1). Fringes of Religious Experience: Cross-Perspectives on William James's "The Varieties of Religious Experience.." *Process Studies*, 38(1), 149–153. <https://doi.org/10.2307/44799079>
45. Tumulty, P. (1990). Judging God by "Human" Standards. *Faith and Philosophy*, 7(3), 316–328. <https://doi.org/10.5840/faithphil19907320>
46. Strout, C. (1971, May). The Pluralistic Identity of William James: A Psycho-historical Reading of "The Varieties of Religious Experience." *American Quarterly*, 23(2), 135. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2711922>
47. Muirhead, J. H. (1903, January). Book Review: The Varieties of Religious Experience. A Study of Human Nature. William James. *Ethics*, 13(2), 236. <https://doi.org/10.1086/205985>

48. Hay, D. (1985, January). Re-Review: William James' The Varieties of Religious Experience. *The Modern Churchman*, 27(2), 45-49. <https://doi.org/10.3828/mc.27.2.45>
49. Russell, B. (2015, December). Notes on William James, The Varieties of Religious Experience. *Russell: The Journal of Bertrand Russell Studies*, 35(2), 181-182. <https://doi.org/10.1353/rss.2015.0005>
50. Jost, J. T. (2011, September 2). That's Incredible! The Believing Brain From Ghosts and Gods to Politics and Conspiracies—How We Construct Beliefs and Reinforce Them as Truths by Michael Shermer Times Books (Henry Holt), New York, 2011. 400 pp. \$28, C\$32. ISBN 9780805091250. *Science*, 333(6047), 1222-1223. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1209161>
51. Cook, C. (2003, August). William James' Varieties of Religious Experience and Jungian Varieties of Human Nature: The nature of the relationship between religious experience, belief and psychological type. *Journal of Beliefs & Values*, 24(2), 139-154. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13617670305424>
52. Aronson, L. R., & Tobach, E. (1967, January). Book review. *Animal Behaviour*, 15(1), 201-203. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0003-3472\(67\)80033-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0003-3472(67)80033-2)
53. Backman, C. W., Aronson, E., Blass, T., & Krebs, D. (1978, January). Readings About the Social Animal (Second edition). *Contemporary Sociology*, 7(1), 82. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2065951>
54. Chibnall, J. T. (2011). Elliot Aronson and the Life of Becoming. *PsycCRITIQUES*, 56(6). <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022568>
55. Worth, R. (2011). The Scientist and the Humanist: A Festschrift in Honor of Elliot Aronson. *Social Psychological Review*, 13(1), 50-52. <https://doi.org/10.53841/bpsspr.2011.13.1.50>
56. Millard, D. W. (1996, March). The Social Animal (7th edn). By Elliot Aronson. New York: W. H. Freeman. 1995. 515 pp. £17.95 (pb). *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 168(3), 390-390. <https://doi.org/10.1192/s0007125000076406>
57. Snyder, G. G. (1977, November 1). Review: The Social Animal, by Elliot Aronson. *The American Biology Teacher*, 39(8), 507-507. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4446047>
58. Myers, A. (1982, April). Review of Social competence. *Canadian Psychology / Psychologie Canadienne*, 23(2), 116-118. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0081297>
59. Myers, L. B. (1996, February). The Attributional Style of Repressive Individuals. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 136(1), 127-128. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.1996.9923038>

60. Myers, S. M., & Booth, A. (2002, March). Forerunners of Change in Nontraditional Gender Ideology. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 65(1), 18. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3090166>
61. Myers, D. G. (1978, November). Polarizing effects of social comparison. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 14(6), 554-563. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031\(78\)90049-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031(78)90049-5)
62. Myers, M. A. (1980, December). Social Contexts and Attributions of Criminal Responsibility. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 43(4), 405. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3033960>.

Consent

Informed consent was obtained from all the participants of this study.

Authorcontributions:

Conceptualization, data acquisition, analysis, interviews and interpretation: Yadlapati Sesha Sai has approved this paper to be published.

Funding:

This publication is self-funding not got any grant from any institute.

Conflict of interests:

Theauthorsdeclarenonoconflictinginterests.

Correspondence:

yadlpatiseshasai@gmail.com¹

drshahnawazmushtaq@gmail.com²